		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview	iew Summary	09/775,104	WINTERTON ET AL.
	, o , o , o , o , o , o , o , o , o , o	Examiner	Art Unit
		Erma Cameron	1762
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Erma Cameron</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Jian Zhou</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 February 20	<u>04</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description	☐ Yes	ant's representative)	
Part I.		·	
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
_ of the interview in the Notice of A	e of the application. The Illowability. to provide a separate	e examiner will provide a record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
· ·			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
(F		/A 1' 1' 1'	····· O: 'f
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an election of species of the identity of the negatively charged material and the identity of the positively charged material, and method of preconditioning, the applicant elected polyacrylic as the polyanionic material, poly(ethyleneimine) as the polycationic material, and preconditioning with a primer. On February 23, the applicant agreed to cancel the nonelected claims. This will be done by examiner's amendment..